

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/690,169	10/21/2003	R. Kent Hermsmeyer	HME/7961.0013	3934
	7590 02/09/2007 ENBERG, ESQ.	EXAMINER		
1220 LIMBERI	LOST LANE		RAMACHANDRAN, UMAMAHESWARI	
GLADWYNE, PA 19035			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
		1617		
SHORTENED STATUTORY	Y PERIOD OF RESPONSE	MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
3 MOI	NTHS	02/09/2007	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
-	Application No.				
Office Action Summary	10/690,169	HERMSMEYER, R. KENT			
omce Action Gammary	Examiner	Art Unit			
The MAN INC DATE of this assessment of the	Umamaheswari Ramachandran	1617			
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sneet with the c	orrespondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 16(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim rill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. they filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>01 December</u> 2a)    This action is <b>FINAL</b> .    2b)    This  3)    Since this application is in condition for allowant closed in accordance with the practice under E	action is non-final. ace except for formal matters, pro	•			
Disposition of Claims					
4)  Claim(s) 1-16 is/are pending in the application.  4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw  5)  Claim(s) is/are allowed.  6)  Claim(s) 1-16 is/are rejected.  7)  Claim(s) is/are objected to.  8)  Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or  Application Papers  9)  The specification is objected to by the Examiner  10)  The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)  acceed to the proper applicant may not request that any objection to the oreal sequences.  11)  The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner	election requirement.  cepted or b) objected to by the Edrawing(s) be held in abeyance. See on is required if the drawing(s) is obj	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No.  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.					
Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)  Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal Pa	te			

Art Unit: 1617

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

## Response to Election/Restrictions

Applicant's election of group I, claims 1-16 in the reply filed on 12/18/2006 is acknowledged. Claims 17-23 are withdrawn from consideration. The examiner thanks the applicant for pointing out the mistake of incorrectly stating to elect the species from claim 11 instead of claim 12 upon election of group I. Because applicant did not distinctly and specifically point out the supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election has been treated as an election without traverse (MPEP § 818.03(a)). Thus the restriction requirement elected is made final. Claims 1-16 are pending.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1, 2 and 8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Anthony (Am. Soc. For Nutritional Sciences J Nutr. 130, 662S-663S, 2000).

Anthony teaches that genistein, an estrogen beta receptor agonist inhibited serotonin uptake in platelets further teaches that isoflavones might inhibit platelet activation and aggregation and reduce the amount of serotonin in the platelets, all of which could contribute to a reduction in coronary vasospasm and thrombosis (P 663S, lines 21-28).

Art Unit: 1617

Claims 1-3 and 8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Kim et al. (J Neurosurg 89, 289-296, 1998).

Kim et al. teaches that genistein (30 and 100 μM) reduced markedly the contraction of rabbit basilar arteries induced by erythrocyte lysate (p 293, col. 2, lines 3-4). The reference teaches that coronary vasospasm, a persistent narrowing of major cerebral arteries is followed by subarachnoid hemorrhage (p 289, lines 1-4). The reference further teaches that genistein exerts a stronger inhibitory action over receptor activation induced contraction in peripheral vascular tissues (p 294, lines 17-20).

Claims 1-3, 8,15 and 16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Honore et al. (Fertility and sterility, 67, 1, 1997).

Honore et al. teaches that administration of genistein (IV infusion), an estrogen receptor agonist enhanced coronary vasodilation in female monkeys. The reference further teaches the long term oral consumption of soy isoflavones (diet) that includes genistein and short-term administration of genistein in female monkeys changed the constrictor response to dilation (characteristic of normal primate coronary arteries) (P149, col.1, lines 5-42, p152, col.1, lines 12-24).

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

<sup>(</sup>a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Art Unit: 1617

Claims 1, 4-6 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Anthony (Am. Soc. For Nutritional Sciences J Nutr. 130, 662S-663S, 2000) in view of Weihua et al. (PNAS, 2002, 99, 13589-94).

Anthony's teachings discussed as above. The reference does not teach the  $5\alpha$ androstane- $3\beta$ ,17  $\beta$ -diol or any of the derivatives in reducing the incidence or severity of vascular hyperreactivity.

Weihua et al. teaches  $5\alpha$ -androstane- $3\beta$ ,  $17\beta$ -diol to be an estrogen receptor beta agonist ligand (see Abstract).

Claims 5 and 6 are rejected based on close structural similarity of the derivatives with  $5\alpha$ -androstane- $3\beta$ ,17  $\beta$ -diol. It is obvious that compounds with very close structural similarities will have similar utilities and hence the derivatives of  $5\alpha$ -androstane- $3\beta$ ,17  $\beta$ -diol will function as estrogen beta-receptor agonists.

The examiner would like to point out that a prima facie case of obviousness may be made when chemical compounds have very close structural similarities and similar utilities. "An obviousness rejection based on similarity in chemical structure and function entails the motivation of one skilled in the art to make a claimed compound, in the expectation that compounds similar in structure will have similar properties." In re Payne, 606 F.2d 303, 313, 203 USPQ 245, 254 (CCPA 1979). See In re Papesch, 315 F.2d 381, 137 USPQ 43 (CCPA 1963) (discussed in more detail below) and In re Dillon, 919 F.2d 688, 16 USPQ2d 1897 (Fed. Cir. 1991).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention to administer  $5\alpha$ -androstane- $3\beta$ ,  $17\beta$ -diol and its derivatives for genistein. The

Art Unit: 1617

motivation to do so is by administering one estrogen receptor beta agonist for another would provide similar or superior efficacy in the therapeutic treatment of vascular hyperreactivity.

Claims 1, 9,10, 13, 14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Anthony (Am. Soc. For Nutritional Sciences J Nutr. 130, 662S-663S, 2000) in view of Weihua et al. (PNAS, 2002, 99, 13589-94) and further in view of Fujikawa et al. (J of Cerebral Flow and Metabolism, 1999, 19, 44-52).

The teachings of Anthony and Weihua et al. have been discussed in the 103(a) rejection set forth above.

Anthony and Weihua et al. do not teach the amount of administration of estrogen beta-receptor agonist in the treatment of vascular hyperreactivity.

Fujikawa et al. teaches the reversal of vasospasm by genistein by topical application of genistein to the spastic basilar artery ( $1 \times 10^{-6}$  to  $3 \times 10^{-4}$  mol/L genistein;  $270 \text{ pg/ml} - 810 \times 10^{2} \text{ pg/ml}$ ).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention to administer an estrogen beta receptor agonist such as  $5\alpha$ -androstane- $3\beta$ ,17  $\beta$ -diol in an sufficient amount to obtain 30-3000 pg/ml in serum because Fujikawa et al. teaches that administration of  $1 \times 10^{-6}$  to  $3 \times 10^{-4}$  mol/L (270- 810 pg/ml) genistein, an estrogen beta receptor agonist reverses vasospasm.

Claims 1, 7 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Anthony (Am. Soc. For Nutritional Sciences J Nutr. 130, 662S-663S, 2000) in view of Barkheim et al. (Molecular Pharmacology, 54, 105-112, 1998).

Art Unit: 1617

Anthony's teachings discussed as above. The reference does not teach the epiestriol in reducing the incidence or severity of vascular hyperreactivity.

Barkheim et al. teaches that epiestriol has an ER-beta selective agonist potency.

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention to administer epiestriol for genistein. The motivation to do so is by administering one estrogen receptor beta agonist for another would provide similar or superior efficacy in the therapeutic treatment of vascular hyperreactivity.

Claims 1, 11,12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Honore et al. (Fertility and sterility, 67, 1, 1997).

Honore et al. does not teach the co-administration of estrogen beta-receptor agonist with a hormone replacement therapy.

The reference teaches that atherosclerosis impairs endothelium-mediated coronary artery dilation in male and postmenopausal female human and non human primates which may play role in vasospasm, angina and myocardial infarction and one of the mechanisms by which estrogen replacement therapy may reduce the risk of coronary vascular reactivity among postmenopausal women is by improving the coronary vascular reactivity ( p145, col.1 lines 15-22). The reference teaches that postmenopausal estrogen replacement therapy is conjugated with estrogens given orally with or without progestin (p 148, col.1, col. 2, lines 1-6). The reference further teaches that genistein, an estrogen beta-receptor agonist enhanced coronary vasodilation in female monkeys (p 152, lines 15-18).

. 4047

Art Unit: 1617

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention to use an estrogen beta-receptor agonist along with an hormone such as progestin in a method of reducing the incidence or severity of vascular hyperreactivity. The motivation to do is taught by Honore et al. The reference teaches that estrogen replacement therapy may reduce the risk of coronary vascular reactivity among postmenopausal women by improving the coronary vascular reactivity. The reference further teaches that genistein, an estrogen beta-receptor agonist enhanced coronary vasculation in female monkeys. Hence it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to combine compounds that are useful in reducing the risk of coronary vascular reactivity to provide synergistic effects.

#### Conclusion

No claims are allowed.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Umamaheswari Ramachandran whose telephone number is 571-272-9926. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 8:30 AM - 5:00 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Sreeni Padmanabhan can be reached on 571-272-0629. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Art Unit: 1617

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

\*\*\*

SREENI PADMANABHAN SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER Page 8